

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Addices: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bus. 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22)13-1450
www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/831,610	05/10/2001	Thomas Wolfgang Friedrich Him	CM1956Q	5738
27752 7	590 12/15/2003		EXAM	INER
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			CAIN, EDWARD J	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1714	
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAN ED: 12/15/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/831,610	HIRN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edward J. Cain	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum staft - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply we - Any reply received by the Office later than three months aft earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restriction.  ) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will be statute, gauss the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	1 on	
· —-	D)⊠ This action is non-final.	•
Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practice.	or allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.3-10 and 13-17</u> is/are reje	· ·	
7) Claim(s) 2,11 and 12 is/are objected		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.	<b>\</b> ·
2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received in A	Application No  n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office actions 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.	n for a list of the certified copies not or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. d in the first sentence of the specific	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet.
a)   The translation of the foreign land	iguage provisional application has t	peen received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first sent	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. tence of the specification or in an A	. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific pplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)		•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 18 (PTO-1449)		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .

Application/Control Number: 09/831,610

**Art Unit: 1714** 

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 9, 10, 13, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bonora.

Bonora discloses films of low density polyethylene comprising metal oxides such as zinc oxide and benzotriazoles (see claims) formed by blow extrusion (example 1).

Applicants limitations to barrier wavelengths and haze are seen as inherent to the films of the reference since their chemical compositions meet the limitations of the rejected claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-8, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonora in view of Knoerzer et al.

Bonora discloses polymeric films as discussed above. This r ference fails to explicitly recite particles sizes for the metal oxides, the use of titanium oxide, orientation of the films or applicants preferred film thickness.

Art Unit: 1714

Knoerzer et al discloses the use of nanoscale particles of titanium dioxide as UV barriers for polyolefin films which may be oriented (see abstract and column 2, line 50).

Regarding applicants claimed film thickness, values such as are claimed are seen as obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art desiring to tailor the film to a particular application.

Claims 2, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phon number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

EDWARD J. CAIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500